

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,080 12/19/2001		Charles Lee Edwards	TH1647 (US) YI:EM	8290
7	7590 05/19/2003			
YUKIKO IWATA c/o SHELL OIL COMPANY			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			SAEED, KAMAL A	
P. O. BOX 2463 Houston, TX 77252-2463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	11
			DATE MAILED, 05/10/2002	1/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/025,080	EDWARDS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kamal A Saeed	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication.				
, — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , — , —						
,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-54</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provi 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic Attachment(s)	sional application has been re	eceived				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.s. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Actic	on Summany	Part of Paner No. 11				

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 – 54 are pending in this application. Claims 5-54 are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. The withdrawn subject matter is patentably distinct from the elected subject matter as it differs in structure and element and would require separate search considerations. In addition, a reference which anticipates one group would not render obvious the other.

Response to Restriction

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-4, drawn to a branched alcohol composition, and the species of Example 1, 10 0 , 3-dodecyloxy-1-propanol, recited on page 37, line 25, of the specification, in paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Therefore, the restriction requirement in paper No. 2 is still deemed proper and is made FINAL.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicants preserve their right to file a divisional on the non-elected subject matter.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a), which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections, set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Applicants a composition comprising a branched ether primary alcohol, wherein the total number of carbon atoms in the alcohol range from 9-24.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hozumi et al, US 4,544,512.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Hazumi et al, teach ether primary alcohol compound, that are analogous to the compounds of the formula depicted in claim 1, wherein \mathbf{R}_1 represents hydrogen, \mathbf{R}_2 represents a hydrocarbyl radical having one carbon atom and \mathbf{x} is 11 or 12.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

One of the difference between the claimed compounds and that of the reference herein lie in the selection of substituents of the chain i.e., R_2 is methyl in the instant claimed compounds while Hazumi et al compound is hydrogen (See US `512, column 13, Example 1 and column 15, Example 5). The claimed compounds are so closely related structurally to the compounds of the reference as to be structurally obvious, in the absence of any unobvious ness or unexpected properties. There is no record in the application, which shows any unexpected activity of the methyl compounds over the hydrogen compounds of the reference. It is well established that the

Art Unit: 1626

substitution for hydrogen on a known compound is not patentable modification absent unexpected or unobvious results. <u>In re Wood</u>, 199 U.S.P.Q. 137 (C.C.P.A. 1978) and In re Lohr, 137 U.S.P.Q. 548, 549 (C.C.P.A. 1963).

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

One skilled in the art would expect that the instant claims which are analogs to the Hazumi compounds i.e. H vs Me, prima facie. The motivation to make claimed compound derives from the expectation that structurally similar compounds are generally expected to have similar properties. In re Gyurik, 596 F. 2d 1012, 201 USPQ 552 (CCPA 1979). The explicit generic teaching of Hazumi et al together with the enabled examples with variations in the length of carbon chain would have motivated one skilled in the art to modify the known compounds with such generic teaching with the expectation that they would all have similar activity as taught by Hazumi et al.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamala Saeed whose telephone number is (703) 308-4592. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (703) 308 4537. The unofficial fax phone for this group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Art Unit: 1626

Page 5

Communication via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signiture, may be used by applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mckane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2286.

Kamala Saeed, Ph.D., May 14, 2003

Celia C. Chang, Ph.D., Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1

Joseph Kun Chang For: